

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/833,138	09/833,138 04/10/2001		Edwin Dair	003918.P002XX5	1627		
8791	7590	09/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY	SOKOL	OFF TAYLOR &	BELLO, A	BELLO, AGUSTIN			
12400 WILS	SHIRE BO	ULEVARD					
SEVENTH	FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
LOSANCE	TEC CA	00025 1020	2622				

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Ak
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-1 1/5
		09/833,138	DAIR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Agustin Bello	2633	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	-
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 4S from the mailing date of this communica NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	<u>ıly 2004</u> .		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits	is is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) 🔀	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
44)[7]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ceived in this National Stage	
* 9	application from the International Bureau		and the said	
3	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the centified copies not re	ceived.	
Attachment	(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun		
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date	
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: In response to the previous office action the applicant elected Species I shown in Figures 21A-21H. Due to the addition of new claims which present a distinct species from that elected in response to the previous office action, the applicant is now required to elect between this Species I shown in Figures 21A-21H and Species II shown in Figure 8A-8C and reading on new claims 39-46. Furthermore, should the applicant elect Species I, the applicant is also required to elect a single subspecies from the plurality of Species shown in Figures 21A-21H. For reference, independent claims 1 and 7 read on Figure 21A, independent claims 7 and 17 read on Figure 21B, independent claims 7 and 23 read on Figure 21E, independent claims 7 and 29 read on Figure 21F, independent claims 7 and 29 read on Figure 21F, independent claims 7 and 23 read on Figure 21G, and independent claims 7 and 17 read on Figure 21F, independent claims 7 and 17 read on Figure 21F, independent claims 7 and 17 read on Figure 21H.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 7 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,138

Art Unit: 2633

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Agustin Bello whose telephone number is (703)308-1393. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 2633

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (703)305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Agustin Bello Examiner Art Unit 2633

AB